

## DENTAL TECHNIQUE

# Combination of cone beam computed tomography and CAD-CAM techniques for maintaining natural emergence profile in immediate extraction and/or implant placement and restoration of a central incisor: A dental technique

Renaud Noharet, DDS, PhD<sup>a</sup> and Eric Van Dooren, DDS<sup>b</sup>

An optimal esthetic outcome for an implant-supported restoration is essential, particularly in the anterior sector. An immediate extraction, implant placement, and immediate restoration protocol can minimize tissue loss,<sup>1,2</sup> and radiological techniques including cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) can correctly indicate immediate placement treatment. An analysis of residual bone volumes has been facilitated by advances in 3D imaging, which allows more precise implant planning.<sup>3</sup> Correct placement can be achieved by guided surgery techniques<sup>4</sup> and should be combined with prosthetic techniques, including the interim restoration, to preserve the existing periodontal architecture and provide an optimal esthetic result.<sup>5,6</sup> Reproduction of the cervical and radicular anatomy of the original tooth makes it possible to maintain the level and the architecture of the gingival tissues before extraction.<sup>7-9</sup>

The purpose of this article was to describe a technique for the creation of an interim tooth that mimics the natural crown contours and emergence profile before the surgical phase. At the same time, the protocol described for making the definitive prosthesis eliminates the need to make a new impression after the bone and gingiva have healed. Both these techniques use computer-aided design and computer-aided manufacturing (CAD-CAM) technology.

The technique illustrated was used to treat a 32-year-old man who was referred to the author's clinic (R.N.)

## ABSTRACT

For immediate extraction and implant placement, preservation of the natural emergence profile is essential to optimize esthetics. In the technique presented, the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) and standard tessellation language (STL) data were merged to simulate the tooth before surgery and to design the interim restoration. The existing tooth and gingival architecture were replicated. (*J Prosthet Dent* 2019;■:■-■)

with a nonrestorable maxillary central incisor with an apical third root fracture (*Fig. 1*).

## TECHNIQUE


1. Make diagnostic impressions. For this patient, conventional impressions were made, although a digital scan may also be made (*Fig. 2*). Concurrently, make a CBCT scan (5G XL; NewTom). Also make photographs to provide information for the dental laboratory technician.
2. Plan the implant location using an implant planning software program (Simplant; Dentsply Sirona) (*Fig. 3*). Digitize the diagnostic cast using a laboratory CT scanner (S600 ARTI; Zirkonzahn) to obtain a virtual cast as a standard tessellation language (STL) file.
3. Modify the STL file with virtual waxing to obtain a cast with the position of the future tooth (the slight displacement of the tooth caused by the trauma was corrected). Also scan a cast without the relevant tooth.
4. Index these casts from the Digital Imaging and Communications in Medicine (DICOM) data so

<sup>a</sup>Associate Professor, Department of Prosthodontics, Dental Faculty, University of Lyon, Lyon, France.

<sup>b</sup>Private practice, Antwerpen, Belgium.



**Figure 1.** Pretreatment situation. A, Discolored fractured right maxillary central incisor. B, Cone beam computed tomography image.




**Figure 2.** STL files. A, Cast with pretreatment situation. B, Cast with simulated prosthesis. STL, standard tessellation language.

that the implant can be properly positioned based on the biologic and prosthetic parameters. Plan the position 3 mm from the neck of the future tooth (blue line in Fig. 3).

5. Draw a stereolithographic guide (Simplant; Dentsply Sirona) on the cast with the tooth extracted to control the drilling depth and implant placement.
6. Create the restoration in 3D using an implant planning software program (Simplant; Dentsply Sirona) (Fig. 4). Save the virtual restoration as an STL file and fabricate it using a laboratory milling machine (M5; Zirkonzahn). A laboratory milling system provides a choice of shades from a resin block (Multistratum A1/A2; Zirkonzahn) for the interim restoration (Fig. 5).
7. Create a new definitive cast by duplicating and inserting the interim restoration in the mold before pouring the new cast. Remove the milled tooth

once the gypsum has set to capture the emergence profile (Fig. 6).

8. Proceed with the implant placement surgery. Prescribe amoxicillin 1 g every 12 hours for 8 days. Extract the nonrestorable tooth by an atraumatic approach to reduce postextraction bone resorption. Place the implant (NobelActive [4.3 mm×10 mm]; Nobel Biocare) following the principles of guided surgery.
9. Place an impression coping and inject resin (Luxa-Bite; Pred) around the coping to position the coping in the previously prepared cast. Bond the replica to the coping and replace the whole assembly on the cast. Adjust the milled tooth using an acrylic trimming bur guided by the interim titanium cylinder used (Temporary Cylinder; Nobel Biocare).
10. Because of the size of the gap between the implant and the buccal cortical bone, backfill with a bone




**Figure 3.** Oblique section of implant planning (implant positioning criteria).

substitute material (Bio-Oss; Geistlich). A connective tissue graft was also placed. Place the interim restoration (Fig. 7).


11. After the osseointegration period, scan the cast used for making the interim restoration. Make an impression to record the modified gingival volume of the peri-implant area. Unscrewing the interim restoration is therefore unnecessary and avoids disruption to the soft tissues.
12. Fabricate the definitive restoration from zirconia (Procera; Nobel Biocare) on a titanium base (Universal Base; Nobel Biocare) veneered with feldspathic porcelain (LiSi; GC); the zirconia was not veneered in the emergence profile area to ensure biocompatibility (Fig. 8).

## DISCUSSION

This technique ensures the maintenance of the soft tissue architecture and facilitates the fabrication of the interim restoration for immediate restoration. The technique



**Figure 4.** STL image of virtually extracted tooth. STL, standard tessellation language.



**Figure 5.** Tooth obtained after milling and polishing.




**Figure 6.** Occlusal view of the model with the milled tooth; emergence profile obtained.

copies the shape of the natural tooth (crown and emergence profile), reducing the time taken to make the interim restoration, which is obtained before surgery. The





**Figure 7.** Interim restoration. A, Milled tooth. B, Placement after 4 hours.



**Figure 8.** Definitive restoration. A, Before placement. B, Emergence profile after 6 months. C, Emergence profile after 10 months.

use of a screw-retained restoration increases the fabrication time but makes healing safer because of the absence of excess cement.

The technique has limitations. An existing metal-ceramic crown may create artifacts in the CBCT image. A solution is to combine the DICOM data from the emergence profile and from the mouth impression for the coronal part. If the crown cannot be extracted in one piece or if the tooth is fractured or absent, the contralateral teeth can be mirrored. This situation requires more digital work because the tooth needs to be repositioned in the space. An optical scan can simplify the workflow and complement the DICOM data because only the CBCT scan can give the subgingival emergence profile before surgery.

## CONCLUSIONS

The combination of modern technologies, including CBCT, laboratory scanners, and digital photography, has allowed clinicians to replicate patients digitally. In the technique presented, DICOM and STL data were used to generate the optimal restoration and emergence profile

without user bias. The photographic software enabled the restoration to be colored so that it could be used as an interim restoration before the implant surgery. The contours obtained by replicating the natural tooth were used for the definitive restoration. Because the contours were the same, the definitive restoration could be fabricated directly without an additional impression or the need to unscrew the healing cap. This approach can be used to optimize the esthetics of both the definitive and interim restoration and minimize the adverse biologic impact of the prosthesis.

## REFERENCES

1. Tarnow DP, Chu SJ, Salama MA, Stappert CF, Salama H, Garber DA, et al. Flapless postextraction socket implant placement in the esthetic zone: part 1. The effect of bone grafting and/or provisional restoration on facial-palatal ridge dimensional change—a retrospective cohort study. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2014;34:323-31.
2. Chu SJ, Salama MA, Garber DA, Salama H, Sarnachiaro GO, Sarnachiaro E, et al. Flapless postextraction socket implant placement, part 2: The effects of bone grafting and provisional restoration on peri-implant soft tissue height and thickness— a retrospective study. *Int J Periodontics Restorative Dent* 2015;35:803-9.
3. Harris D, Buser D, Dula K, Grondahl K, Haris D, Jacobs R, et al. E.A.O. guidelines for the use of diagnostic imaging in implant dentistry: a consensus

- workshop organized by the European Association for Osseointegration in Trinity College Dublin. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2002;13:566-70.
4. Vercruyssen M, Laleman I, Jacobs R, Quirynen M. Computer-supported implant planning and guided surgery: a narrative review. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2015;26 Suppl 11:69-76.
  5. Kan JY, Rungcharassaeng K. Immediate placement and provisionalization of maxillary anterior single implants: a surgical and prosthodontic rationale. *Pract Periodontics Aesthet Dent* 2000;12:817-24.
  6. Buser D, Wittneben J, Bornstein MM, Grutter L, Chappuis V, Belser UC. Stability of contour augmentation and esthetic outcomes of implant-supported single crowns in the esthetic zone: 3-year results of a prospective study with early implant placement postextraction. *J Periodontol* 2011;82: 342-9.
  7. Chu SJ, Salama MA, Salama H, Garber DA, Saito H, Sarnachiaro GO, et al. The dual-zone therapeutic concept of managing immediate implant placement and provisional restoration in anterior extraction sockets. *Compend Contin Educ Dent* 2012;33:524-32.
  8. Vafiadis D, Goldstein G, Garber D, Lambrakos A, Kowalski BJ. Immediate implant placement of a single central incisor using a CAD/CAM crown-root form technique: provisional to final restoration. *J Esthet Restor Dent* 2017;29: 13-21.
  9. Liu X, Tan Y, Liu J, Tan J. A digital technique for fabricating implant-supported interim restorations in the esthetic zone. *J Prosthet Dent* 2018;119:540-4.

#### Corresponding author:

Dr Renaud Noharet  
8 Rue Gasparin  
69002 Lyon  
FRANCE  
Email: [rn@renaudnoharet.com](mailto:rn@renaudnoharet.com)

#### Acknowledgments

The authors thank Mr Philippe Buisson for fabricating the interim and definitive prostheses for this treatment.

Copyright © 2019 by the Editorial Council for *The Journal of Prosthetic Dentistry*.  
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.prosdent.2018.12.003>